Review of Pupil Premium Expenditure 2016/2017

Pupil premium strategy statement: Ladycross Infant School

Summary information					
School	Ladycross In	fant School			
Academic Year	2016/17	Total PP budget	£70,000	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept 2016
Total number of pupils	285	Number of pupils eligible for PP	47	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2017

Current attainment						
		Pupils eligible for PP		Pupi	ls <u>not</u> eligible for	- PP
	Reading	Writing	Maths	Reading	Writing	Maths
% at age related expectations leaving nursery	20%	20%	20%	43%	49%	59%
% of children reaching a 'Good level of Development'		53%			70%	
% of children reaching ARE in RWM leaving reception	65%	65%	65%	79%	73%	71%
% of children achieving phonics screening pass at end of year 1		65%			83%	
% of children at ARE in Y2	44%	44%	67%	83%	81%	83%

Summary of diminishing the differences success.

2016-17 Year 2 cohort:

Pupil Premium pupils began in reception at low starting points and were members of multiple vulnerable groups.

Pupil Premium Pupils entry to reception

0% of PP pupils entered on track in reading and writing, 20% on track in maths.

Non Pupil Premium Pupils entry to reception

38% on track in reading, 45% on track writing and 65% on track in maths.

Pupil premium pupils were also lacking key skills in independence, self-regulation and communication which meant that they had much greater barriers to learning than the cohorts non pupil premium pupils.

During the reception year, Pupil premium pupils accessed a personalised curriculum based on these individual needs. For most this included focused small group and individual work boosting school readiness and self-regulatory skills. Closing the gap in these skills ensured the pupils were ready to make the accelerated progress expected, but the development of these skills took time. By the end of the reception year the majority of pupil premium pupils had built greater resilience, were school ready and had developed a level of self-regulation which would allow them to maximize their progress throughout the remainder of their school journey.

From the end of reception to the end of key stage 1, the attainment gap between Pupil Premium and non Pupil premium pupils diminished in reading (by 6%) and maths (by 27%), while it maintained in writing.

Current Year 2 cohort:

From their reception baseline assessments, the gap in attainment between pupil premium and Non pp pupils has reduced:

Reading: Baseline gap 22%, current gap 17% Writing: Baseline gap 29%, current gap 12% Maths: Baseline gap 30%, current gap 17%

i. Quality of teaching fo	or all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Impact
A. Children will be socially emotionally ready for learning through the use of nurture and lunchtime club provision.		 EEF toolkit utilizing high quality trained TAs effectively Professional knowledge Monitoring of Boxall Profiles 	 All children - entry Boxall profiles, targets set and monitored termly and exit Boxall profile. Monitor also progress through PPM 	SENCo/PP leader	Children are accessing the curriculum better. The barrier of emotional instability removed. We have observed that children are immersed in their own education to a greater degree. Reductions of risk factors indicated in POMs and Boxall profile. Reduction in off task/ disengaged behaviour. There have been very few incidents of bad behaviour at lunchtime, no fixed term exclusions and no major issues.

monitor the progress of PPM and set clear targets.	Time with intervention teachers/TAs to work on feedback support, embed good learning behaviours through modelling, enable children to make links across their learning and close the gaps with their peers	 We have invested some of the PP in longer term change which will help all pupils. Many different evidence sources, e.g. EEF Toolkit suggest high quality feedback is an effective way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can embed across the school. Termly assessment shows improvement in all year groups. Inclusion targets are appropriate to meet the needs of the individual child. 	 Pupils progress meetings and performance management Led by qualified teacher SENCo monitors provision and inclusion passport targets 	HT Assessment lead SENCo/PP leader	Pupil Premium pupils began from low starting points and were members of multiple vulnerable groups. Pupil Premium Pupils entry 0% of PP pupils entered on track in reading and writing, 20% in maths. Non PP Pupils entry 38% reading, 45% writing and 65% in maths. From the end of Reception, the attainment gap between Pupil Premium and non Pupil premium pupils diminished significantly in reading and maths, while it maintained in writing. This has been highlighted as a school improvement priority for the current year. See the diminsishing the differences action plan.
--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---

C. Parental engagement is an issue with some of our pupil premium families.		EEF toolkit Professional knowledge	 Quality assurance of the family resource worker. Increased number of parent questionnaires completed. Increased attendance at parents evening and other special events. Parent link worker 	Parent engagement working party to include SENCO,EYFS Coordinator, FRW and Parent link worker	Parental engagement is still a priorty for the school. The school continues to battle barriers to parental engagement and this is part of a 2 year school improvement action plan. See parental engagement action plan.
---	--	--	---	---	--

ii. Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Impact
Improve attainment across the school	Support staff/Class teacher utilised to support pupil premium pupils and intervention to ensure the gap closes	Pupils make accelerated progress in almost all classes and at least expected progress in all classes. Support staff provided a key role in supporting the class teachers to achieve their aspirational and expected targets. Termly book scrutiny's showed that feedback was being used effectively across the school. Pupil interviews showed that pupil attitudes to learning had improved.	 Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. SLT to observe delivery of intervention programmes to ensure quality and consistency 	Assessment lead HT	Low starting points alongside membership of multiple vulnerable groups meant these children failed to make the accelerated progress that was the target of this action. This has been highlighted as a school improvement priority for the current year. Please see school improvement action plan.

Children who are disadvantaged are given support to attend school in line with their peers, make necessary progress and have the necessary social and emotional support to learn	• Employ school based family resource worker (2 ½ days a week)	 EEF Tool kit - Professional knowledge 	Attendance data. Parents and children have access to the necessary support (or can be signposted to others). Assessment - where children are in relation to end of year expectations. Pupil interview records. Children's books	Assessment lead HT SENCo FRW	Letters have been sent out to parents on a half termly basis if pupils attendance falls below 95%. See Home /School Mentor reports Attendance has increased as a result.
Children are able to achieve end of yr.	Continue with increased hours Increase TA hours so that all classes have a teaching assistant all morning to support intervention and plugging the gaps. Teacher/TA intervention groups - in afternoon and after school	EEF toolkit utilizing high quality trained TAs effectively	Feedback from class teachers, lesson observations and pupil Assessment - where children are in relation to end of year expectations	Assessment lead HT SENCo	

Total budgeted cost £70,000
